

Criteria for Reviewing Program Evaluations Based on MDE's Program Evaluation Tool

District:			School:	
Reviewer's Nar	ne and I	Date:		
PROGRAM EVALUATION SECTION		QUESTION CRITERIA	FEEDBACK	
		Title Specify whether a strategy/program/initiative		
	DESCRI	IPTION		
		Include population served (including grade level, number of students, and other pertinent demographics)		
		Include program details: Who is implementing, what delivery model		
_		Include frequency of intervention		
PTION		Mention start date of strategy/program/initiative		
DESCRIPTION		List assessments used for measurable objectives		
Σ	NEED			
PROGRAM		Include the gap(s) identified using data - baseline data and subgroup data		
	REASO results	N for selection, including intended		
		Include the connection of strategy/program/initiative to need		
		Include SMART measureable objective(s) identifying intended results		
	RESEAI	RCH		
		Include research that is current and		

evidence-based, with brief summary

PROGRAM EVALUATION SECTION	QUESTION CRITERIA	FEEDBACK
	SUB-QUESTION A — Stakeholder (staff, students, parents) understanding of need Provide conclusion, aligned with evidence, regarding stakeholders' understanding of the need and the reasons for the selecting the strategy/ program/initiative SUB-QUESTION B — Stakeholder (staff, students, parents) shared vision and strong commitment Provide conclusion, aligned with evidence, regarding stakeholders having a shared vision and a strong commitment to the strategy/program/initiative SUB-QUESTION C — Stakeholder (staff, students, parents) concerns identified and addressed Include concerns and how they were addressed for each stakeholder group SUB-QUESTION D — Ability of staff/ administration to integrate strategy/program/initiative with existing work Explain how strategy/program/initiative fits into current work RATING Align rating to evidence ACTION STEPS Deduce action steps for READINESS	
	students, parents) shared vision and strong commitment Provide conclusion, aligned with evidence, regarding stakeholders having a shared vision and a strong commitment to the	
READINESS	students, parents) concerns identified and addressed Include concerns and how they were addressed for each	
	administration to integrate strategy/ program/initiative with existing work Explain how strategy/program/initiative fits into	

PROGRAM EVALUATION SECTION	QUESTION CRITERIA	FEEDBACK
	SUB-QUESTION A − Change in practice ☐ Include examples of how practice	
	would change	
	SUB-QUESTION B – Administrator's knowledge and ability to monitor and assess effectiveness	
KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	☐ Cite how administrator's professional learning supported the monitoring and assessment of effectiveness	
	SUB-QUESTION C - Staff professional learning	
	Include evidence of initial professional learning	
	 Address sufficiency and effectiveness of professional learning, including meeting identified learning outcomes 	
KN	SUB-QUESTION D - Staff knowledge and skills	
	 Include results drawn from quantifiable evidence of staff's knowledge/ability to implement strategy/program/initiative 	
	RATING	
	☐ Align to evidence	
	ACTION STEPS	
	 Deduce action steps for KNOWLEDGE and SKILLS from the evidence and rating 	
OPPORT	SUB-QUESTION A - Sufficiency of administrative support to achieve intended results	

PROGRAM EVALUATION SECTION	QUESTION CRITERIA	FEEDBACK
OPPORTUNITY Continued	 Include specific examples of administrator support/lack of support Draw specific conclusions from the examples cited 	
	SUB-QUESTION B —Sufficiency of opportunities for ongoing professional learning, including modeling and coaching	
	 Include examples of opportunities/lack of opportunities for ongoing professional learning including modeling and coaching 	
	Draw specific conclusions from the examples cited	
	SUB-QUESTION C - Sufficiency of resources (financial, time, personnel) to achieve intended results	
	☐ Include examples of resources/lack of resources	
	Draw specific conclusions from the examples cited	
	SUB-QUESTION D - Sufficiency of opportunities for staff collaboration to support implementation	
	 Include examples cited of staff collaboration/lack of collaboration, supported by data 	
	Draw specific conclusions from the examples cited	
	SUB-QUESTION E - Structures to collect and review implementation data	
	 Describe structures in place to collect and review implementation data 	
	Derive conclusions from structures/lack of structures to	

PROGRAM EVALUATION SECTION	QUESTION CRITERIA	FEEDBACK
	collect and review implementation data	
	RATING Align to evidence	
	ACTION STEPS	
	Deduce action steps for OPPORTUNITY from the evidence and rating	
	SUB-QUESTION A – Monitor fidelity of implementation	
	☐ Provide specific examples of a process to monitor fidelity of staff implementation of the strategy/ program/ initiative	
	 Draw specific conclusions regarding the examples provided above 	
<u>L</u>	SUB-QUESTION B - Unintended consequences	
IMPLEMENTATION WITH FIDELITY	 Provide specific examples of positive and/or negative unintended consequences 	
	 Draw specific conclusions from the examples cited above 	
	SUB-QUESTION C – Implementation/ modifications suggested by results	
	Include modifications made/being considered	
	 Discuss possible impact of modifications on the integrity of implementation 	
	RATING	
	☐ Align to evidence	
	ACTION STEPS	

PROGRAM EVALUATION SECTION	QUESTION CRITERIA	FEEDBACK
	Deduce action steps for IMPLEMENTATION WITH FIDELITY from the evidence and rating	
	SUB-QUESTION A - ALL students in the strategy/program/initiative made progress towards the measurable objectives ☐ Include data sources ☐ Align specific data to measureable objectives for all students ☐ Draw specific conclusions from the data	
IMPACT	SUB-QUESTION B − Subgroups within the strategy/program/initiative ☐ Include data sources for each subgroup ☐ Align specific data sources to measureable objectives for each subgroup ☐ Draw specific conclusions from data for each subgroup	
	SUB-QUESTION C - ALL stakeholders List stakeholder groups Describe methods used to measure each stakeholder group's satisfaction Include specific data results for each stakeholder group SUB-QUESTION D Determine if the objectives were met	

PROGRAM EVALUATION SECTION	QUESTION CRITERIA	FEEDBACK
	Determine if the strategy/program/initiative should be continued or institutionalized?	
	SUB-QUESTION A - Right strategy/ program/initiative ☐ Provide conclusion relating data to identified need	
NOIS	SUB-QUESTION B − Cost benefit of strategy/program/initiative □ Provide conclusion relating data to cost effectiveness	
IMPACT CONCLUSION Continued	SUB-QUESTION C — Potential adjustments to strategy/program/initiative Discuss potential adjustments with rationale	
	SUB-QUESTION D − Maintain momentum of the strategy/program/initiative □ Discuss specific actions, resources, changes that will maintain momentum	
	SUB-QUESTION E - Results inform the School Improvement Plan Identify how results will impact measureable objectives, strategies, and/or activities in the School Improvement Plan/District Improvement Plan	